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Measurements are reported of the change in permittivity, loss, and the piezoelectric constants, \(d_{31}\) and \(d_{32}\), for piezoelectric ceramic transducer materials subjected to high stress perpendicular to the polar axis (T1). Ceramics useful for high-power applications PTZ-4 and PTZ-8 and some donor-doped ceramics of high sensitivity but with high losses that make such applications unwise (PZT-5A and PZT-5H) were tested. Permittivity generally decreased with stress, \(T_1\) opposite to results with parallel stress, \(T_3\). The piezoelectric coefficient \(d_{31}\) (in the direction of stress application) decreases markedly with stress, while \(d_{32}\) (in the direction perpendicular to the stress and the polarization) generally rises. The effects are less severe for the “Hard” ceramics. A permanent change in pseudocystal symmetry from \(\sigma\) to \(2\text{mm}\) is observed for the donor-doped ceramics, even at relatively low stress levels (5 kpsi).

INTRODUCTION

This paper extends the study of stress sensitivity of piezoelectric ceramics to the case of static compressive stress perpendicular to the polar axis. This is referred to as lateral stress or \(T_1\). Properties measured are free permittivity, \(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon_0\), tan \(\delta\) and piezoelectric coefficients \(d_{31}\) and \(d_{32}\). With no stress, \(d_{31} = d_{32}\) due to the \(\sigma\) in pseudocystal structure of a polarized ferroelectric ceramic. However, with stress applied laterally, \(d_{31}\) (response to incremental stress parallel to the static stress) is reduced, while \(d_{32}\) (response to incremental stress perpendicular to the static stress and perpendicular to the polar axis) generally rises. The symmetry effectively becomes \(2\text{mm}\). Effects on the permittivity are generally small with lateral stress, but the effects on the d constants are rather large, even for “hard” piezoelectric ceramics. For the “soft,” donor-doped ceramics, permanent changes in the ratio of \(d_{31}\) to \(d_{32}\) due to exposure to lateral static stress are easily demonstrable.

For references to the general subject of stress sensitivity of transducer ceramics, the reader is referred to those listed in Part 1. However, the only works with appreciable data on effects of one-dimensional lateral stress are by Berlincourt and Krueger and Krueger and Berlincourt. Brown and Nishi and Brown have studied two and three-dimensional effects, respectively.

Ceramics on which measurements are reported in this paper are PZT-4 and PZT-8, representative of materials suitable for high-power applications, and PZT-5A and, PZT-5H representative of materials suitable for lowpower or hydrophone purposes. These are all modified Pb(Zr,Ti)O\(_3\), compositions just on the tetragonal side of the tetragonal-rhombohedral phase boundary. PZT-4 has about 5 at. % Sr\(^{2+}\) and PZT-8 has about 5% Sr\(^{2+}\) substitution for Pb\(^{2+}\) and acceptor doping (3-valent atom in B position of perovskite ABO\(_3\) lattice). PZT-5A and PZT-5H have donor doping (3-valent atom in A position or 5-valent atom in B position).’

I. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The search for reliable methods of measurement is outlined in Appendix A. The permittivity measurements were made on bars 0.5X0.5X2.5 in. long. A pair of sides was electroded and the specimens were poled.
After measurement of capacitance, about 1/2 in. of electrode, length was removed in the center of the bar. With stress along the length of the bar, the two halves were driven out of phase (to avoid driving the press) by a 1-kHz signal at low level. Measurements were normalized to the permittivity obtained before splitting of the electrodes. As for the measurements made for Part 1, detail runs were made for the first, second, and fourth stress cycles. For PZT4 and PZT-8, these were made to a peak stress of 20 kpsi; for PZT-5A to 10 kpsi, and for PZT-5H to 5 kpsi. Specimens were then cycled between zero and peak stress, with measurements made at end points each fifth cycle, for a total of 50 stress cycles The cycling procedure without the detail runs was also carried out at 5, 10, 15, and 20 kpsi, except for PZT-5H, which was subjected to a cycling run at 2.5 kpsi and none at 15 kpsi.

Values of d_{31}, d_{32} were measured using the strain gauge bridge described in the Appendix to Part 1. The same size bars, fully electroded, were used. A large O-ring compliance was placed in series mechanically to prevent dynamic clamping by the press. Lateral clamping; by the press anvils is minimized by the high lengthto-thickness ratio.

A. PZT-4

Changes in permittivity for PZT-4 are much smaller with lateral static stress (Figs. 1 and 2) than with parallel static stress (Part 1). For the first stress cycle to a peak stress of 20 kpsi, the permittivity shows an initial rise of 2.5% at 4 kpsi, then fall with further increase in stress so that at -20 kpsi the total change as compared to the initial value is -4%. Because the curve does not retrace itself as the stress is reduced below 4 kpsi, the net change after stress removal is +5%. For succeeding cycles, the initial rise is absent, and the values with decreasing stress remain close to those with rising stress. For the fourth cycle, the total change is -9.5%. After 50 cycles (Fig. 2), the change is still very close to this figure. At lower stresses (Fig. 2), the changes are even less, and for 5 and 10 kpsi are negligible for normal use. The change in tan& is not negligible, but tan& remains below 1% for all cases at this low driving-signal level. For high electric drive, the changes shown (Fig. 2, bottom) raise the tan&-vs electric-field curves in the lie same way as for specimens of higher initial tan& (see Appendix to Part 1).

Fig. 2 ε/ε0 and tanδ vs N° of lateral stress cycles to peak stresses of 5, 10, 15 and 20kpsi. PZT-4

Figures 3 and 4 show the changes of d_{31} and d_{32} with lateral static stress (T_1). Because of the effective ceramic crystal symmetry (∞ m), the initial values of d_{31} and d_{32} are equal. The mismatch shown in Fig. 3 for PZT-4 is the worst for this series of measurements (a 14% discrepancy) indicating the degree of consistency of the strain-gauge technique. The curves of Fig. 3 show the same character as those of earlier measurements with a large drop (70%) in the d_{31} and a large increase (83%) in d_{32}. A small amount of stress stabilization (Fig. 4) reduces the change for 13. to +66% after 10 cycles and to +58% after 30 cycles without fleeting the change in d_{31}. After the first few
cycles, he drop in $d_{31}$ is 56% at 15 kpsi, 35%, at 10 kpsi, and 20% at .1 kpsi. Likewise, the rise in $d_{32}$ is 59%, 48%, and 42% for the respective stress-cycle runs.

B. PZT-8

Comparison of Figs. 5-8 for PZT-8 with the respective figures for PZT4 shows that the major point of superiority of PZT-8 over PZT-4 is its lower tan\(\delta\), 0.005 or below for all experiments. The change in $\varepsilon_{33}/\varepsilon_0$ is slightly greater than for PZT-4 but still very small – - 5.5% for the first stress cycle to 20 kksi, - 9.7% for the fourth cycle, and -9.3% after 50 cycles to 20 kpsi (Fig. 6). The latter figure is the same as for PZT-4.

The changes in $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ with static stress $T_1$ and stress cycling are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. For the first stress cycle to 20 kpsi, $d_{31}$ drops from 93 to 41 X 10-12 m/V, a change of -56%. The fourth and 50th cycles are the same – - 57%. This compares to a change in dam for PZT-4 of - 70% to this stress. Likewise, the rise in $d_{32}$ is 59% for the first stress cycle and a fairly stable +51% for further cycling, as compared to the slowly changing curve for PZT4 (+58% after 50 stress cycles). This modest improvement in behavior of PZT-8 over PZT4 is probably the result of its higher mechanical coercive force. Changes are still appreciable for peak stress of 15 kpsi (-45% for $d_{31}$, +38% for $d_{32}$), but reasonably small for 10 kpsi (-19% for $d_{31}$, +20% for $d_{32}$) and 5 kpsi (-17 and +16170, respectively). At the latter two stress levels, PZT-8 is clearly superior to PZT4.

C. PZT-5A

PZT-5A and PZT-5H are representative of donor-doped ceramics that quickly accommodate to stresses.

Therefore, the first lateral stress cycle for PZT-5A shows the largest change in permittivity (Fig. 9); subsequent Stress cycles are nearly the same as the second cycle. The permittivity of PZT-5A at 10 kpsi is below its initial value by 9.7% for the first stress cycle. By the fourth cycle, the decrease is 6.3% and remains 6.3% to 50 cycles, although the level of $\varepsilon_{33}/\varepsilon_0$ has decreased (at zero static stress) by 2.5% from the fourth cycle to the 50th (Fig. 10). For peak stress of 20 kpsi, the drop in permittivity is 7.4% for the fourth cycle, 7.6% for the 50th, while the zero-stress level of permittivity drops by 2%. This behavior is in contrast to that of PZT4 or PZT-8 (Fig. 2 and Fig. 6), for which the level of permittivity after release of stress remains constant after the first few cycles. The level of tan\(\delta\) remains high (between 0.013 and 0.020); this and the drastic increase of tan\(\delta\) at high ac driving fields shown in Part 1 again relegate the donor-doped ceramics to low power or hydrophone uses.
The changes of $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ with static lateral compressive stress $T_1$ are shown in Figs. 11 and 12. An effect which is barely hinted at in the corresponding curves for the “hard” ceramics is demonstrated outstandingly by these curves for PZT-5A and Figs. 15 and 16 for PZT-5H. Not only do $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ separate under stress (Figs. 11 and 15), but the recovery on release of stress is never perfect (very poor for 15 and 20 kpsi, Figs. 12 and 16), resulting in permanently different $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$. The stress changes the ceramic symmetry from coin (isotropic in the plane perpendicular to the polar axis) to 2mm or to a pseudo-orthorhombic symmetry. This effect was noted previously but not shown as clearly as in this detailed study. The 20-k-psi cycling run (Fig. 12), for instance, shows a 2:1 ratio of $d_{33}$ to $d_{31}$ after a few lateral stress cycles.

Fig. 8. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs number of lateral stress cycles to peak stresses of 5, 10, 15, and 20 kpsi. PZT-8.
10. $\varepsilon_{33}^T / \varepsilon_o$ vs number of lateral stress cycles to peak stresses of 5, 10, 15, and 20 kpsi PZT-5A

FIG. 11. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs lateral stress, $T_1$; first, second, and fourth stress cycles, PZT-5A

ment is possible on a remanent basis- i.e., the stress need not remain applied. This is easy to do with a radially poled tube by stressing with a bolt through its center and then making use of the response to circumferential stress. The improvement possible for PZT-5A would be ~20% with a remanent effect and 65% for a maintained bias stress of 20 or 15 kpsi. For hydrophone design of the usual sort, the stress applied by depth will be in the direction of the useful response, decreasing that response as shown in the curves. Useful response for PZT-5A ($d_{31} \approx 100 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}$) is still available at 5 kpsi. PZT4 would perform relatively better at higher stresses.

Fig. 12. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs number of lateral stress cycles to peak stresses of 5, 10, 15, and 20 kpsi. PZT-5A

Fig. 13. $\varepsilon_{31}^T / \varepsilon_o$ and $\tan \partial$ vs lateral stress, $T_1$; first, second, and fourth stress cycles. PZT-5H.
D. PZT-5H

PZT-5H has high values of $d_{31}$ and $d_{33}$ and permittivity, making it useful for hydrophones, where low impedance and high output are desirable. With its $d_{31}$ (standard value - $274 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}$) comparable to the $d_{33}$ of PZT-4 (standard value $289 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}$), the (often) simpler transducer geometries using lateral response can be used effectively. The effects of static lateral stress ($T_1$) on $\varepsilon_{33}/\varepsilon_0$ $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ are shown in Figs. 13-16. Permittivity changes are somewhat greater than for the other ceramics (- 14%) at 20 kpsi, - 9% at 10 kpsi, and even -6.5% at 5 kpsi.

![Fig. 14. $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon_0$ vs number of lateral stress cycles to peak stresses of 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 kpsi PZT-5H](image)

Fig. 15. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs lateral stress, $T_1$ first, second and fourth stress cycles. PZT-5H.

![Fig. 16. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs number of lateral stress cycles to peak stream of 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 kpsi PZT-5H](image)

2.5 kpsi); but these are still unimportant changes, particularly in view of the recommended use of PZT-5H in receivers only. Figure 15 shows $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ for stress runs to 5 kpsi. Percentage changes are seen to be comparable to the hard ceramics for stress runs to 20 kpsi, and recovery is very poor, giving even greater disparity between $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ than for comparable stress in PZT-5A.

II. DISCUSSION

Where the need is for radiated power and efficiency, the relatively good behaviour under conditions of high electric field of the “hard” ceramics PZT-4 and PZT-8 eliminates consideration of the “soft” ceramics. For hydrophone service, the choice is not so clear. Figure 17 summarizes the results of the effects of lateral stress ($T_1$) on $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ for the four ceramic materials studied here. The points are derived from the stress cycle curves, using the data for the 10th cycle, and normalized to the published nominal values of $d_{31}$ Similar “cross plots could be made for the first and 50th stress cycles; but major changes have occurred by the 10th cycle, making it a good compromise for such a plot. The ceramic of highest charge sensitivity ($d_{31}$) depends on the operational stress. At 3800 psi, $d_{31}$ for PZT-5H crosses that for PZT-5A at 6500 psi, $d_{31}$ for PZT-5A crosses that for PZT4; and at 7200 that for PZT-8. For relatively constant response for bias stress to 10 kpsi, PZT-8 is superior, in spite of its low level of response. Brown' has shown that two-dimensional or planar stress results in drastic changes in the permittivity of certain ceramics, including PZT-4 changes that also affect the $d$ constants. One must hedge further on...
designs based on large prestress, for little is known about mechanical creep acting to relieve the stress or about the aging of the enhanced $d_{32}$ and of the permittivity under these conditions of stress. Nevertheless, large improvements in laterally stressed transducers should be possible for shallow-depth hydrophones, and at least one such attempt has been made (successfully), using PZT-4 Hydrophones based on enhanced remanent $d_3$, where the enhancing stress has been removed, or other uses of the pseudo-orthorhombic ceramic that results after release of large lateral stresses applied to the donor-doped ceramics have not come to the author’s attention.

Fig. 17. $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ vs lateral stress, $T_1$. Comparison of all ceramics tested. Data taken from end points of the 10th stress cycle (Figs. 4, 8, 12, acid 16) normalized to published nominal values of $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$.

Apart from design considerations, the concurrent rise of $d_{32}$ and fall of list for stress $T_1$ (reversible for the “hard” ceramics) pose an interesting theoretical problem. A poled ceramic has the majority (but not all) of domains aligned in the closest of the allowed crystallographic directions to the poling electric-field vector. Reversible domain-wall motion enhances permittivity and piezoelectric constants, and this is due primarily to unaligned domains. With tetragonal perovskites, as those in this study, the reversible domain-wall motion is primarily due to 90° switching. It should be noted that stress will generally promote 90° switching, and an electric field will promote both 90° and 180° switching. Permittivity is much lower along the polar axis of a crystallite than in the perpendicular plane. Thus, compressive stress along the polar axis tends to increase permittivity drastically because anisotropy of the permittivity and creation of additional domain walls act together. Compressive stress perpendicular to the polar axis tends to create relatively few additional domain walls in a poled material and furthermore does not drastically affect domain alignment. The effect on the permittivity is therefore fairly small. However, taking 1 as the direction of the static stress, it can be seen that some crystallites, oriented during poling so that the cube edge of the unit cell nearest the, electric-field vector (3) is polar, actually have another cube edge closer to the plane perpendicular to 1. Domains in these crystallites tend to switch during lateral compression. In addition, some domains that were not oriented by the poling process become, aligned by lateral compression. This alignment is not polar (180° twinning), however. This creates a situation such that there is little contribution to response to dynamic-stress along -1 by reversible domain wall movement, therefore reducing $d_3$. Domains oriented in the plane perpendicular to 1 nearly parallel to 2 arc those that are particularly unstable, since they were mostly switched to nearly parallel to 3 by the static stress. Reversible contributions of these domains with dynamic stress along 2 enhance $d_{32}$ markedly.
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Appendix A

Problems associated with measurement of properties with static stress parallel to the poling axis ($T_1$) are discussed in the Appendix to Part 1. The experimental method that worked best consisted of using a pair of specimens driven out of phase. Primarily, this avoided driving the mechanical press, and therefore press resonances (which invariably showed up as loss peaks during permittivity measurements made without this precaution) were not excited. Perhaps equally important, this method ensured dynamically free conditions for the permittivity measurements. In spite of rather large bias stresses, the incremental strains necessary for the measurements were not blocked or clamped, except for some lateral clamping at the press anvils and at the specimen interface. Because $d_{31} \approx 0.45 d_{32}$, a cube was deemed sufficiently long to consider the specimens laterally free. The same technique was tried initially for measurements of properties under lateral stress ($T_2$) and found unsatisfactory. Apparently, the clamping lateral to the stress is large when the piezoelectric motion is large; i.e., the motion along the poling direction is clamped, reducing the measured value of $d_{33}$. Two methods were found successful for relieving this clamping: the first involved rubber shims between specimens and anvils. The low shear modulus of the rubber then allowed the lateral motions. The second required use of a bar of length/width/thickness of 5:1. With a bar this long, the clamping of the ends had little effect at the center of the bar, where the strain gauges were mounted for
measurement of $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$. The latter was the technique chosen for measurement.

Several measurements of permittivity were made using the "long-bar" technique; but again peaks in $\tan \partial$ showed up, indicating that the press was being driven in spite of the series compliance. This was avoided by splitting the electrodes on the long bar and driving the two halves out of phase. This sacrifices effective length and introduces another uncertainty at the electrode gap, where the field is such as to excite two opposing shear deformations. Nevertheless, because of its simplicity in alignment and the good behaviour of the $\tan \partial$ during measurement, this technique was accepted as standard. Figure A-2 shows measurements made on PZT-5H with a 5:1 fully electroded bar and a 5:1 split-electrode bar. Note the smooth progression of points for the curve for which the drive was out of phase compared to the peaks in $\tan \partial$, particularly, for the fully electroded long bar, where driving of the press cannot be avoided. Measurements of permittivity on pairs of cubes were also made. Again, lateral clamping significantly reduced the permittivity, though the out-of-phase drive resulted in $\tan \partial$ behaviour the same as shown in the lower curve of Fig. A-2.

Fig A-1. $d_{31}$ vs lateral strut $T_1$ as measured under various experimental configurations for PZT-4.

Fig. A-2. $\varepsilon^{*}_{33}/\varepsilon^*_o$ and $\tan \partial$ vs lateral stress $T_1$, as measured under various experimental configurations for PZT-5H.

d of $d$ constants because the alignment of the specimen in the press was easier than with the first method and specimen breakage was reduced. Apparently, the rubber’s folding itself around the sharp corners of the ceramic increased the stress on the corners sufficiently to produce a stress gradient that sheared columnar chips off the specimens. (It has always been our experience, that hard, well-finished, anvils broke fewer specimens than any kind of soft anvil or soft spacer.) A large O-ring between fiber spacers provided the compliance in the stress direction to allow free piezoelectric motions. Figure A-1 shows typical results for PZT-4 with the various measurement techniques. Early measurements with single cubes and a relatively stiff Bakelite compliance showed large low stress increases in $d_{31}$ that could only be explained by assuming that a broad, low-Q resonance was excited in the press. The upper curve of Fig. A-1 shows low initial $d_{31}$. This occurred for cubes with nominal $d_{31}$ and $d_{32}$ after the pair was assembled for out-of-phase drive, and, before installation in the press, apparently due to clamping at the specimen-specimen interface.